## 

1 MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney 2 MATHEW W. PILE, WSBN 32245 Associate General Counsel 3 Office of Program Litigation, Office 7 Social Security Administration 4 JUSTIN L. MARTIN, MO 62255 5 Special Assistant United States Attorney 6401 Security Boulevard 6 Baltimore, Maryland 21235 Telephone: (206) 615-3735 7 E-Mail: justin.l.martin@ssa.gov 8 Attorneys for Defendant 9 10 12 13 14 LINZI KAYLENE ADAMS, 15 Plaintiff, 16 17 VS. 18 19

Defendant.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## SACRAMENTO DIVISION

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE
ELECTRONIC CERTIFIED
ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD AS THE
ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Pending the Court's approval, the parties stipulate through their respective counsel that Defendant, the Commissioner of Social Security (the "Commissioner"), shall have a thirty-day extension of time to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint in this case from January 27, 2025, up to and including February 26, 2025. In support of this request, the Commissioner respectfully states as follows:

1. Defendant's response to Plaintiff's Complaint is due to be filed by January 27, 2025.

Stip. For Ext; 2:24-cv-03198-SCR

Defendant has not previously requested an extension of this deadline.

2. In accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures, recently amended to add Supplemental Rules for Social Security review cases under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), the Commissioner files a certified administrative record (CAR) as the Answer to a Complaint for review.

- 3. Counsel for the Commissioner has been informed by the client agency, which is the Social Security Administration, Office of Appellate Operations, that the CAR is not fully prepared in this matter. The client agency therefore needs more time to prepare the CAR for the Court's review.
- 4. For this reason, Defendant requests an extension to February 26, 2025 (30 days), to file an Answer or other response in this matter.
- 5. Counsel for the Commissioner has consulted with Plaintiff's counsel who advised that he has no objection to this extension request.
- 6. This request is made in good faith and is not intended to delay the proceedings in this matter.
- 7. I am attempting to preserve limited judicial resources and have applied the most rapid response under the circumstances.

WHEREFORE, Defendant requests until February 26, 2025, to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.

/// 24

25

26

27

28

## 

1		Respectfully submitted,
2	DATE: January 23, 2025	Law Offices of Francesco Benavides
3		/s/ Francesco Paulo Benavides*
4		FRANCESCO PAULO BENAVIDES Attorney for Plaintiff
5		(*as authorized via email on January 23, 2025)
6		MICHELE BECKWITH
7		Acting United States Attorney
8		MATHEW W. PILE Associate General Counsel
9		Office of Program Litigation, Office 7 Social Security Administration
10	DATE 1 22 2025	
12	DATE: January 23, 2025 By	<u>s/ Justin L. Martin</u> JUSTIN L. MARTIN
13		Special Assistant United States Attorney
14		Attorneys for Defendant
15		ORDER
16	Pursuant to stipulation, it is so ordered.	
17		
<ul><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>	DATE: January 23, 2025	Sun Rivela
20		SEAN C. RIORDAN
21		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		